Case 3:22-cr-00452-CRB Document 10 Filed 02/13/23 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Ţ	United States of America,	Case No. (1 22 - 0452 00)
EVIN	Plaintiff,) N.) Defendant(s).	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 2/3/2, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 2/13/2 to 2/2/2 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):		
13 	Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
. I -	defendants, the nature of the prosecu or law, that it is unreasonable to expect ade	the number of tion, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
-	Failure to grant a continuance would deny t taking into account the exercise of due dilig	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
_	counsel's other scheduled case commitment See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ts, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
V	necessary for effective preparation, taking i See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	nto account the exercise of due diligence.
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the	
	exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Cri IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 2-13, 2023	m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
		United States Magistrate Judge
S	STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney